

# BUSAC FUND

## TECHNICAL EVALUATION GRID

The evaluation criteria are divided into sections and questions within each section. Each question will be given a score between 1 and 5, with 5 being the highest mark.

Section	Max. Score
<b>1. Relevance of the Action</b>	
1.1 Does the proposal meet one or more of the Fund's objectives in a clear and concise manner?	5
1.2 Will the "end of day" situation of the Action clearly resolve the constraints identified?	5
1.3 Do the expected impacts logically follow from the "end of day" situation?	5
<b>Total score for Relevance</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>2. Technical Quality of the Proposal</b>	
2.1 Are the activities listed clearly defined and appropriate?	5
2.2 Is the order of the activities logically stated?	5
2.3 Is the allocation of time for each activity sufficient?	5
2.4 Are the verifiable indicators appropriate to measure the expected results?	5
2.5 Are the initial and final target values realistic?	5
2.6 Are the expected impacts clearly Defined?	5
<b>Technical Quality of the Proposal</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>3. Sustainability of the Action</b>	
3.1 Do you believe that as a result of applicant's participation in the Action, they will have sufficient technical and administrative capacity to undertake advocacy in future?	5
3.2 Is the association financially capable of undertaking advocacy actions after this action has been completed?	5
3.3 Do you think the Action is replicable in time and space?	5
<b>Total score for Sustainability</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>4. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the Action</b>	
4.1 Are the unit estimated costs realistic? (This relates to budget line items)	5
4.2 Are the estimated numbers of units realistic? (This relates to budget line items)	5
4.3 Is the budget allocated for each activity sufficient?	5
4.4 Are the intended results worth the total fund requested?	5
<b>Total score for Budget &amp; Cost-Effectiveness</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>5. Relationship between Applicant and the Action</b>	
5.1 Does the Action proposed relate to the activities of the applicant?	5
5.2 Do you believe that as a result of implementing the Action, the internal capacity of the applicant will be enhanced?	5
5.3 Is there a genuine need for the applicant to undertake the proposed Action?	5
5.4 From the application is it clear that the applicant will be the owner of the Action and have ultimate responsibility for the delivery and implementation of activities according to the proposed plan?	5
<b>Total score for Relationship btw Applicant &amp; Action</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>